

Pyons Group Neighbourhood Plan

Residents' Survey report

Version 1.0 – Initial release

Prepared by Tony Cramp, Data Orchard CIC

info@dataorchard.co.uk

Contents

Introduction	3
Version history	3
Survey methodology	3
Presentation of results	4
Average rating scores – an important note	4
Results	5
Appendix 1 – Summary of Average Ratings	51
Appendix 2 – The questionnaire	57

Introduction

Following a number of consultation events organised by the Pyons Group Neighbourhood Plan steering group, a series of ideas and proposals concerning the group parish were assembled. A survey was then conducted to assess residents' agreement with, and support for, these ideas.

This report collates and presents the results of that survey.

This report has been independently produced by Data Orchard CIC¹, commissioned by the Pyons Group Neighbourhood Plan steering group, and is based directly on the residents' responses as provided by the paper and online questionnaires.

Version history

Version 1.0 Initial release

Survey methodology

Feedback was gathered from residents in February and March 2014 using paper questionnaires and an online collection tool called VocalEyes.

The consultation covered 9 topics and for each topic a number of key strategies or views were described. 108 paper questionnaires were returned and up to 24 responses to the questions on VocalEyes.

On the paper-based questionnaires, residents were asked to rate their support using 0 to indicate they were against, n if they were neutral and a scale of 1 to 5 if they were in agreement.

The online tool presented the same strategies and views and asked residents to indicate their support on a scale between 1 and 5 stars. At the time² that residents completed the online survey, there was no wording displayed with the rating scale, however it seems clear that 5 stars indicated greatest support and 1 star least support (or greatest opposition).

The questionnaire may be found in Appendix 2.

¹ Data Orchard C.I.C. (company number 08674626) is a community interest company limited by guarantee.

² The Vocaleyes tool appears to have been changed since this survey was conducted and now shows the words "Disagree" on the rating scale above one star and "Agree" above five stars.

Presentation of results

This report shows the results of each of the response methods separately and also the results of the two different methods combined.

Percentages are rounded to the nearest integer.

Average rating scores – an important note

It is important to note that the average rating score primarily gives a measure of the STRENGTH of support for the proposal and does not reflect the strength of any opposition.

Paper Responses: The average rating is calculated by multiplying the rating (0,1,2,3,4,5) by the number of responses selecting that rating, summing the result and dividing by the total number of responses to that proposal. This means that a response opposing the idea ("0" selected) adds zero to this result rather than subtracting from it. The "N" option (neutral) also adds zero to the sum.

Online Responses: The average rating has been calculated by the same method as described above, however note that there is no "0" option, so all responses contribute to the average rating. It should be noted that there is some ambiguity whether a rating of "1" should be interpreted as little support or strongest opposition for the idea in the view of the respondent.

Combined Responses: The average rating for the responses combined has been calculated by the same method as described above applied to both paper and online responses. It should be noted that with the difference between the rating methods of the two surveys, there is no "perfect" way to calculate the average rating. In particular, this method interprets an online response of "1" to mean minimal support, however it may be that the respondent opposed the idea and assumed that a response of "1" would be interpreted as opposition.

Whilst it is felt that this method provides a useful relative indicator of the strength of support for each idea or proposal it should not be interpreted as a balance of support versus opposition and great caution should be excised when drawing conclusions from the combined results. The detailed results of the paper survey included in the tables and charts below provide an unambiguous reflection of the view of respondents.

A summary of the average ratings for all questions may be found in appendix 1.

Results

108 residents responded to some or all of the questions on the paper questionnaire.




24 residents responded to some or all of the questions on the online questionnaire.

Topic 1: Key Parish Council Strategy

Question 1: Future Development, idea 1694

Any significant future development would be in Canon Pyon; it would be proportional, include affordable housing and would be in addition to the designated 'brown-field' site next to the Village Hall. Do you agree with this as a key strategy?)

Topic 1 Q1	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	2	12	5	7	25	20	33	104	
	%	2%	12%	5%	7%	24%	19%	32%		3.26
Online	Number	-	-	6	1	0	7	8	22	
	%	-	-	27%	5%	0%	32%	36%		3.45
Com-bined	Resp.	2	12	11	8	25	27	41	126	
	Number	2%	10%	9%	6%	20%	21%	33%		3.29






Topic 1: Key Parish Council Strategy

Question 2: Priority Development, idea 1700

The priority development must be to emphasise the 'centre' of Canon Pyon to help establish a stronger and safer community within the area defined by the playing field, the shop, the pub and the village hall. Do you agree with this as a key strategy?

Topic 1 Q2	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	5	4	4	6	16	12	58	105	
	%	5%	4%	4%	6%	15%	11%	55%		3.83
Online	Number	-	-	4	0	0	5	13	22	
	%	-	-	18%	0%	0%	23%	59%		4.05
Com-bined	Resp.	5	4	8	6	16	17	71	127	
	Number	4%	3%	6%	5%	13%	13%	56%		3.87






Topic 1: Key Parish Council Strategy

Question 3: Other Developments, idea 1701

Consideration will be given to small developments and individual houses, elsewhere in the Parish to help sustain local communities and maintain the rural character of the place. Do you agree with this as a key strategy?

Topic 1 Q3	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	6	7	4	8	16	19	45	105	
	%	6%	7%	4%	8%	15%	18%	43%		3.51
Online	Number	-	-	4	1	5	2	10	22	
	%	-	-	18%	5%	23%	9%	45%		3.59
Com-bined	Resp.	6	7	8	9	21	21	55	127	
	Number	5%	6%	6%	7%	17%	17%	43%		3.53






Topic 2: Parish Plan, Housing

Question 1: Investment in Housing, idea 1702

There was general support for investment in housing that is affordable, both now and in the future, with support for land being designated for future investment. Do you support this view?

Topic 2 Q1	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	8	10	10	9	8	26	32	103	
	%	8%	10%	10%	9%	8%	25%	31%		3.07
Online	Number	-	-	4	2	2	6	8	22	
	%	-	-	18%	9%	9%	27%	36%		3.55
Com-bined	Resp.	8	10	14	11	10	32	40	125	
	Number	6%	8%	11%	9%	8%	26%	32%		3.15






Topic 2: Parish Plan, Housing

Question 2: New Build and Modernisation, idea 1703

New build and modernisation of existing housing was supported, which demonstrated that residents viewed the future positively and recognised that the Parish will have to grow to be sustained and to attract the much needed complementary investment in facilities and services etc. Do you support this view?

Topic 2 Q2	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	4	13	2	9	16	24	33	101	
	%	4%	13%	2%	9%	16%	24%	33%		3.26
Online	Number	-	-	4	0	3	2	13	22	
	%	-	-	18%	0%	14%	9%	59%		3.91
Com-bined	Resp.	4	13	6	9	19	26	46	123	
	Number	3%	11%	5%	7%	15%	21%	37%		3.37






Topic 2: Parish Plan, Housing

Question 3: Types of Development, idea 1704

The strongest support was for Starter Homes, Family Homes and Adapted/Easy Access Homes for the elderly and disabled. Do you support this view?

Topic 2 Q3	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	2	9	5	8	17	28	37	106	
	%	2%	8%	5%	8%	16%	26%	35%		3.48
Online	Number	-	-	2	0	4	6	10	22	
	%	-	-	9%	0%	18%	27%	45%		4.00
Com-bined	Resp.	2	9	7	8	21	34	47	128	
	Number	2%	7%	5%	6%	16%	27%	37%		3.57






Topic 2: Parish Plan, Housing

Question 4: Investment Criterion, idea 1705

The criterion that was placed on any investment was that it should target local people and those with local connections. Do you support this view?

Topic 2 Q4	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	4	6	3	3	12	24	54	106	
	%	4%	6%	3%	3%	11%	23%	51%		3.88
Online	Number	-	-	4	1	6	4	7	22	
	%	-	-	18%	5%	27%	18%	32%		3.41
Com-bined	Resp.	4	6	7	4	18	28	61	128	
	Number	3%	5%	5%	3%	14%	22%	48%		3.80






Topic 2: Parish Plan, Housing

Question 5 Types of Development (2), idea 1706

There was opposition to large executive housing or flats and apartments and less than expected support for 'supported' housing. Do you support this view?

Topic 2 Q5	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	10	9	6	4	12	17	48	106	
	%	9%	8%	6%	4%	11%	16%	45%		3.38
Online	Number	-	-	3	4	6	1	7	21	
	%	-	-	14%	19%	29%	5%	33%		3.24
Com-bined	Resp.	10	9	9	8	18	18	55	127	
	Number	8%	7%	7%	6%	14%	14%	43%		3.35






Topic 2: Parish Plan, Housing

Question 6: Types of Development (3), idea 1707

Regarding buying options, the strongest support was for houses to be built for private buyers and available on the open market. There was also support for part ownership and self-build for individuals or groups. Do you support this view?

Topic 2 Q6	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	2	10	6	8	20	24	35	105	
	%	2%	10%	6%	8%	19%	23%	33%		3.36
Online	Number	-	-	1	1	5	8	7	22	
	%	-	-	5%	5%	23%	36%	32%		3.86
Com-bined	Resp.	2	10	7	9	25	32	42	127	
	Number	2%	8%	6%	7%	20%	25%	33%		3.45






Topic 2: Parish Plan, Housing

Question 7: Types of Development (4), idea 1708

There was less support for housing association 'build for rent' and opposition to private rent and low cost/subsidised housing. Do you support this view?

Topic 2 Q7	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	19	7	8	7	16	7	41	105	2.89
	%	18%	7%	8%	7%	15%	7%	39%		
Online	Number	-	-	3	1	4	6	7	21	3.62
	%	-	-	14%	5%	19%	29%	33%		
Com-bined	Resp.	19	7	11	8	20	13	48	126	3.01
	Number	15%	6%	9%	6%	16%	10%	38%		






Topic 2: Parish Plan, Housing

Question 8: Development in Canon Pyon, idea 1709

Canon Pyon must not continue to be developed on an ad-hoc basis as this has resulted in the current patchwork layout, the lack of geographic cohesion and associated communications problems. (Do you support this view?)

Topic 2 Q8	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	10	10	0	8	11	8	59	106	
	%	9%	9%	0%	8%	10%	8%	56%		3.55
Online	Number	-	-	3	1	2	4	11	21	
	%	-	-	14%	5%	10%	19%	52%		3.90
Com-bined	Resp.	10	10	3	9	13	12	70	127	
	Number	8%	8%	2%	7%	10%	9%	55%		3.61






Topic 3: Parish Plan, Facilities and Services

Question 1: Existing Facilities, idea 1710

There was strong support for the existing facilities, in-particular, the Shop, Pub, Village Hall, Churches and Playing Field. Do you support this view?

Topic 3 Q1	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	0	2	0	0	2	12	90	106	
	%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	11%	85%		4.75
Online	Number	-	-	0	0	0	1	20	21	
	%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	5%	95%		4.95
Com-bined	Resp.	0	2	0	0	2	13	110	127	
	Number	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	10%	87%		4.79






Topic 3: Parish Plan, Facilities and Services

Question 2: Public Transport, idea 1711

There is a clear need for more frequent and regular public transport. Do you support this view?

Topic 3 Q2	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	2	12	2	1	8	20	60	105	
	%	2%	11%	2%	1%	8%	19%	57%		3.89
Online	Number	-	-	1	0	2	3	16	22	
	%	-	-	5%	0%	9%	14%	73%		4.50
Com-bined	Resp.	2	12	3	1	10	23	76	127	
	Number	2%	9%	2%	1%	8%	18%	60%		3.99






Topic 3: Parish Plan, Facilities and Services

Question 3: Future Developments, idea 1712

Better control of traffic speed and pedestrian facilities for crossing the road are essential with any future development. Do you support this view?

Topic 3 Q3	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	4	7	2	3	14	12	63	105	
	%	4%	7%	2%	3%	13%	11%	60%		3.93
Online	Number	-	-	0	0	3	2	17	22	
	%	-	-	0%	0%	14%	9%	77%		4.64
Com-bined	Resp.	4	7	2	3	17	14	80	127	
	Number	3%	6%	2%	2%	13%	11%	63%		4.06






Topic 3: Parish Plan, Facilities and Services

Question 4: School Access, idea 1713

There is a clear need to be able to access the school by foot. Do you support this view?

Topic 3 Q4	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	5	16	1	6	6	9	60	103	
	%	5%	16%	1%	6%	6%	9%	58%		3.56
Online	Number	-	-	0	1	4	3	14	22	
	%	-	-	0%	5%	18%	14%	64%		4.36
Com-bined	Resp.	5	16	1	7	10	12	74	125	
	Number	4%	13%	1%	6%	8%	10%	59%		3.70






Topic 3: Parish Plan, Facilities and Services

Question 5: Youth Facilities, idea 1714

The support for organised activities was mixed however there was interest in having a place for the 'youth' to go to and possibly a facility as a meeting point, both of which would likely be best suited to Canon Pyon. Do you support this view?

Topic 3 Q5	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	3	19	3	6	19	15	40	105	
	%	3%	18%	3%	6%	18%	14%	38%		3.16
Online	Number	-	-	0	2	7	4	9	22	
	%	-	-	0%	9%	32%	18%	41%		3.91
Com-bined	Resp.	3	19	3	8	26	19	49	127	
	Number	2%	15%	2%	6%	20%	15%	39%		3.29






Topic 3: Parish Plan, Facilities and Services

Question 6: Security and Anti-Social Behaviour, idea 1715

Security and anti-social behaviour are ongoing issues to all areas and Policing is not seen as effective in the Parish and is of clear concern to a large section of the community. Do you support this view?

Topic 3 Q6	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	5	9	1	5	17	16	53	106	
	%	5%	8%	1%	5%	16%	15%	50%		3.69
Online	Number	-	-	3	0	4	4	11	22	
	%	-	-	14%	0%	18%	18%	50%		3.91
Com-bined	Resp.	5	9	4	5	21	20	64	128	
	Number	4%	7%	3%	4%	16%	16%	50%		3.73






Topic 3: Parish Plan, Facilities and Services

Question 7: Self Help Groups, idea 1716

Resident self help groups to organise joint ventures, such as allotments, secure play areas for young children etc. were suggested as requirements in any housing development. Do you support this view?

Topic 3 Q7	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	6	18	0	8	17	20	37	106	
	%	6%	17%	0%	8%	16%	19%	35%		3.13
Online	Number	-	-	0	3	5	5	9	22	
	%	-	-	0%	14%	23%	23%	41%		3.91
Com-bined	Resp.	6	18	0	11	22	25	46	128	
	Number	5%	14%	0%	9%	17%	20%	36%		3.27






Topic 3: Parish Plan, Facilities and Services

Question 8: Road Maintenance, idea 1717

Road maintenance is an ongoing sore throughout the Parish and needs to be improved. Do you support this view?

Topic 3 Q8	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	1	3	1	1	3	9	88	106	
	%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	8%	83%		4.60
Online	Number	-	-	0	0	0	2	20	22	
	%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	9%	91%		4.91
Com-bined	Resp.	1	3	1	1	3	11	108	128	
	Number	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	9%	84%		4.66






Topic 3: Parish Plan, Facilities and Services

Question 9: Phone / Internet Access, idea 1718

Mobile phone and Internet access needs to be improved for both private and business use as both are well below anyone's expectation. Do you support this view?

Topic 3 Q9	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	2	7	2	5	8	10	72	106	
	%	2%	7%	2%	5%	8%	9%	68%		4.11
Online	Number	-	-	0	0	1	1	20	22	
	%	-	-	0%	0%	5%	5%	91%		4.86
Com-bined	Resp.	2	7	2	5	9	11	92	128	
	Number	2%	5%	2%	4%	7%	9%	72%		4.24






Topic 4: Parish Plan, Environment

Question 1: Community Projects, idea 1719

There was support for community projects that contributed to the environment. Do you support this view?

Topic 4 Q1	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	3	16	2	5	11	19	48	104	
	%	3%	15%	2%	5%	11%	18%	46%		3.47
Online	Number	-	-	0	0	2	6	13	21	
	%	-	-	0%	0%	10%	29%	62%		4.52
Com-bined	Resp.	3	16	2	5	13	25	61	125	
	Number	2%	13%	2%	4%	10%	20%	49%		3.65






Topic 4: Parish Plan, Environment

Question 2: Environment, idea 1720

There was strong support for maintaining the uniqueness of the environment in terms of the nature of the countryside and that the access to footpaths etc. is very highly valued. Do you support this view?

Topic 4 Q2	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	0	4	1	0	5	17	78	105	
	%	0%	4%	1%	0%	5%	16%	74%		4.51
Online	Number	-	-	0	1	1	2	17	21	
	%	-	-	0%	5%	5%	10%	81%		4.67
Com-bined	Resp.	0	4	1	1	6	19	95	126	
	Number	0%	3%	1%	1%	5%	15%	75%		4.54






Topic 4: Parish Plan, Environment

Question 3: 'Wild' Areas, idea 1721

There were suggestions that land should be made available as sites that could grow 'wild' as important habitat for insects and wildlife in general. Do you support this view?

Topic 4 Q3	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	9	14	1	7	14	13	46	104	
	%	9%	13%	1%	7%	13%	13%	44%		3.26
Online	Number	-	-	2	2	1	4	12	21	
	%	-	-	10%	10%	5%	19%	57%		4.05
Com-bined	Resp.	9	14	3	9	15	17	58	125	
	Number	7%	11%	2%	7%	12%	14%	46%		3.39






Topic 4: Parish Plan, Environment

Question 4: Re-cycling Facility Removal, idea 1722

The removal of re-cycling facilities was not seen as a positive action so this should be reconsidered in any future development of public areas. Do you support this view?

Topic 4 Q4	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	12	10	2	3	11	18	50	106	
	%	11%	9%	2%	3%	10%	17%	47%		3.42
Online	Number	-	-	1	2	5	2	10	20	
	%	-	-	5%	10%	25%	10%	50%		3.90
Com-bined	Resp.	12	10	3	5	16	20	60	126	
	Number	10%	8%	2%	4%	13%	16%	48%		3.50






Topic 5: Parish Plan, Economy

Question 1: Parish Development, idea 1723

It was recognised that Housing and the Economy are both important considerations in the development of the Parish along with facilities and services, so a balance must be maintained in this respect. Do you support this view?

Topic 5 Q1	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	0	13	4	4	14	21	49	105	
	%	0%	12%	4%	4%	13%	20%	47%		3.65
Online	Number	-	-	2	0	2	5	11	20	
	%	-	-	10%	0%	10%	25%	55%		4.15
Com-bined	Resp.	0	13	6	4	16	26	60	125	
	Number	0%	10%	5%	3%	13%	21%	48%		3.73






Topic 5: Parish Plan, Economy

Question 2: Jobs, idea 1724

The view that jobs are needed as well as houses was accepted so business opportunities within development projects would be welcomed so long as they do not detract from the rural nature of the Parish. Do you support this view?

Topic 5 Q2	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	2	7	2	2	18	27	47	105	
	%	2%	7%	2%	2%	17%	26%	45%		3.84
Online	Number	-	-	2	1	1	5	11	20	
	%	-	-	10%	5%	5%	25%	55%		4.10
Com-bined	Resp.	2	7	4	3	19	32	58	125	
	Number	2%	6%	3%	2%	15%	26%	46%		3.88






Topic 6: Parish Plan, Health Services

Question 1: Local Provision, idea 1725

As the population of the Parish increases consideration must be given to the possibility of more local provision of health services. Do you support this view?

Topic 6 Q1	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	3	15	0	7	9	10	61	105	
	%	3%	14%	0%	7%	9%	10%	58%		3.68
Online	Number	-	-	0	2	5	2	11	20	
	%	-	-	0%	10%	25%	10%	55%		4.10
Com-bined	Resp.	3	15	0	9	14	12	72	125	
	Number	2%	12%	0%	7%	11%	10%	58%		3.74






Topic 6: Parish Plan, Health Services

Question 2: Future Developments, idea 1726

Future building developments must have health provision in mind. Do you support this view?

Topic 6 Q2	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	2	14	2	8	12	13	54	105	
	%	2%	13%	2%	8%	11%	12%	51%		3.58
Online	Number	-	-	2	2	5	2	9	20	
	%	-	-	10%	10%	25%	10%	45%		3.70
Com-bined	Resp.	2	14	4	10	17	15	63	125	
	Number	2%	11%	3%	8%	14%	12%	50%		3.60






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 1: Development, idea 1727

The Parish must develop and grow to become sustainable but the development must be mixed and rural in nature. Residents appreciate this will involve limited investment in housing and associated facilities including work opportunities. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q1	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	5	10	1	6	10	20	51	103	
	%	5%	10%	1%	6%	10%	19%	50%		3.67
Online	Number	-	-	1	1	4	6	8	20	
	%	-	-	5%	5%	20%	30%	40%		3.95
Com-bined	Resp.	5	10	2	7	14	26	59	123	
	Number	4%	8%	2%	6%	11%	21%	48%		3.72






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 2: Development (2), idea 1728

Any development must be planned to first recognise the needs and aspirations of those currently living in the Parish. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q2	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	3	7	1	6	6	16	66	105	4.05
	%	3%	7%	1%	6%	6%	15%	63%		
Online	Number	-	-	1	0	0	4	15	20	4.60
	%	-	-	5%	0%	0%	20%	75%		
Com-bined	Resp.	3	7	2	6	6	20	81	125	4.14
	Number	2%	6%	2%	5%	5%	16%	65%		






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 3: Improvement in Services, idea 1729

The improvement in services that are needed now and in the future to meet residents aspirations are more likely to become a reality if the Parish does continue to develop and grow. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q3	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	4	8	2	3	16	25	46	104	
	%	4%	8%	2%	3%	15%	24%	44%		3.71
Online	Number	-	-	1	1	6	5	7	20	
	%	-	-	5%	5%	30%	25%	35%		3.80
Com-bined	Resp.	4	8	3	4	22	30	53	124	
	Number	3%	6%	2%	3%	18%	24%	43%		3.73






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 4: Housing Investment, idea 1730

Housing investment must start in Canon Pyon, establishing a 'centre' in the Village by building a community hub around the 'brown field' site. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q4	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	10	8	0	3	20	14	47	102	3.50
	%	10%	8%	0%	3%	20%	14%	46%		
Online	Number	-	-	4	0	1	6	9	20	3.80
	%	-	-	20%	0%	5%	30%	45%		
Com-bined	Resp.	10	8	4	3	21	20	56	122	3.55
	Number	8%	7%	3%	2%	17%	16%	46%		






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 5: Hub Development (Canon Pyon), idea 1731

The development of the hub would include improvements to the Playing Field, secure play facilities for small children and safer pedestrian access across the road and to the school. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q5	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	4	7	2	4	6	21	60	104	
	%	4%	7%	2%	4%	6%	20%	58%		3.96
Online	Number	-	-	2	3	0	2	13	20	
	%	-	-	10%	15%	0%	10%	65%		4.05
Com-bined	Resp.	4	7	4	7	6	23	73	124	
	Number	3%	6%	3%	6%	5%	19%	59%		3.98






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 6: Development (3), idea 1732

Opportunities must be identified to allow for small/individual developments across the Parish, using available sites that would help sustainability and the rural nature of the Parish. This would be on a case by case basis with some local sanction on design. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q6	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	3	16	3	1	21	22	38	104	
	%	3%	15%	3%	1%	20%	21%	37%		3.33
Online	Number	-	-	1	0	5	4	10	20	
	%	-	-	5%	0%	25%	20%	50%		4.10
Com-bined	Resp.	3	16	4	1	26	26	48	124	
	Number	2%	13%	3%	1%	21%	21%	39%		3.45






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 7: Development and Parish/Neighbourhood Plans, idea 1733

The Parish and Hereford Councils will help land owners pursue small developments in the knowledge that they would ensure any development is in line with the Parish and Neighbourhood Plans. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q7	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	5	13	5	7	16	20	36	102	
	%	5%	13%	5%	7%	16%	20%	35%		3.21
Online	Number	-	-	4	0	5	2	9	20	
	%	-	-	20%	0%	25%	10%	45%		3.60
Com-bined	Resp.	5	13	9	7	21	22	45	122	
	Number	4%	11%	7%	6%	17%	18%	37%		3.27






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 8: Development and Neighbourhood Plan, idea 1734

Other locations identified for potential development in Canon Pyon need to be formalised as part of the Neighbourhood planning process to maintain control of the shape of any future development once the 'centre' has been effectively secured. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q8	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	9	11	1	6	16	12	45	100	
	%	9%	11%	1%	6%	16%	12%	45%		3.34
Online	Number	-	-	4	0	4	3	8	19	
	%	-	-	21%	0%	21%	16%	42%		3.58
Com-bined	Resp.	9	11	5	6	20	15	53	119	
	Number	8%	9%	4%	5%	17%	13%	45%		3.38






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 9: Commercial Development (Canon Pyon), idea 1735

A later development within the hub should include commercial community facilities such as a Café and Youth Club, both of which would need to be financially sustainable within the Parish. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q9	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	12	16	3	7	18	12	34	102	
	%	12%	16%	3%	7%	18%	12%	33%		2.83
Online	Number	-	-	6	1	5	4	4	20	
	%	-	-	30%	5%	25%	20%	20%		2.95
Com-bined	Resp.	12	16	9	8	23	16	38	122	
	Number	10%	13%	7%	7%	19%	13%	31%		2.85






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 10: Business Units, idea 1736

The addition of small business/craft units would be complementary to any development that included housing. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q10	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	9	13	3	8	23	17	28	101	
	%	9%	13%	3%	8%	23%	17%	28%		2.93
Online	Number	-	-	5	2	3	3	7	20	
	%	-	-	25%	10%	15%	15%	35%		3.25
Com-bined	Resp.	9	13	8	10	26	20	35	121	
	Number	7%	11%	7%	8%	21%	17%	29%		2.98






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 11: New Primary School (Canon Pyon), idea 1737

The idea of building a new Primary School within the 'hub' was strongly supported in the response from residents. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q11	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	12	25	2	4	13	4	41	101	
	%	12%	25%	2%	4%	13%	4%	41%		2.67
Online	Number	-	-	3	2	7	1	7	20	
	%	-	-	15%	10%	35%	5%	35%		3.35
Com-bined	Resp.	12	25	5	6	20	5	48	121	
	Number	10%	21%	4%	5%	17%	4%	40%		2.79






Topic 7: Overall Conclusion

Question 12: Mobile Phone / Internet Access, idea 1738

It would be a pre-condition of such developments going ahead that Mobile Phone reception and Internet access were significantly improved before they were approved. Do you agree with these conclusions?

Topic 7 Q12	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	6	11	0	6	12	5	63	103	
	%	6%	11%	0%	6%	12%	5%	61%		3.72
Online	Number	-	-	0	0	2	4	14	20	
	%	-	-	0%	0%	10%	20%	70%		4.60
Com-bined	Resp.	6	11	0	6	14	9	77	123	
	Number	5%	9%	0%	5%	11%	7%	63%		3.86






Topic 8: Neighbourhood Plan

Question 1: Solution, idea 1739

Is this Plan a positive and creative solution to the current challenges facing the Parish? Please rate your answer.

Topic 8 Q1	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	3	12	3	6	19	20	41	104	
	%	3%	12%	3%	6%	18%	19%	39%		3.43
Online	Number	-	-	3	0	3	5	9	20	
	%	-	-	15%	0%	15%	25%	45%		3.85
Com-bined	Resp.	3	12	6	6	22	25	50	124	
	Number	2%	10%	5%	5%	18%	20%	40%		3.50






Topic 8: Neighbourhood Plan

Question 2 Contribution, idea 1740

Does it make a helpful and significant contribution to the wider needs of the County? Please rate your answer.

Topic 8 Q2	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	3	20	4	7	17	19	32	102	
	%	3%	20%	4%	7%	17%	19%	31%		2.99
Online	Number	-	-	3	0	3	6	8	20	
	%	-	-	15%	0%	15%	30%	40%		3.80
Com-bined	Resp.	3	20	7	7	20	25	40	122	
	Number	2%	16%	6%	6%	16%	20%	33%		3.12






Topic 8: Neighbourhood Plan

Question 3: Framework, idea 1741

Does it define successfully a framework for the ongoing development of the Parish and the sustainability of the community?

Topic 8 Q3	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average rating
Paper	Number	5	12	4	9	16	21	35	102	
	%	5%	12%	4%	9%	16%	21%	34%		3.23
Online	Number	-	-	3	0	1	7	9	20	
	%	-	-	15%	0%	5%	35%	45%		3.95
Com-bined	Resp.	5	12	7	9	17	28	44	122	
	Number	4%	10%	6%	7%	14%	23%	36%		3.34



Topic 9: Land Use Options

Question 1 Your Location, idea 1814

To answer this question please refer to 'Map 1': Can you identify your location on the Map and are you inside the boundary (Online: use 5 stars for 'yes' and 1 star for 'no')

There were very few responses to the questions in this section. The results are therefore shown only as numbers and not as percentages.

Topic 9 Q1	Responses		
	Yes	No	Total
Paper	12	1	13
Online	9	1	10
Combined	21	2	23

Topic 9: Land Use Options

Question 2: Land use designation, idea 1815

To answer this question please refer to 'Map 2':

Do you agree with the land use designation given to the fields identified? (Online: use 5 stars for 'yes' and 1 star for 'no')

There were very few responses to the questions in this section. The results are therefore shown only as numbers and not as percentages.

Topic 9 Q2	Responses / Rating							Total
	Yes	No	1	2	3	4	5	
Paper	8	5	-	-	-	-	-	13
Online	-	-	1	0	2	1	6	10

Topic 9: Land Use Options

Question 3: Future Development, idea 1816

To answer this question please refer to 'Map 3'. Don't forget you can also additionally (or only) leave a comment in the comment box: Do you think that other land should be identified for future development?

There were very few responses to the questions in this section. The results are therefore shown only as numbers and not as percentages.

Topic 9 Q3	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Paper	Number	4	0	1	0	1	3	2	11
Online	Number	-	-	5	0	2	2	1	10
Combined	Number	4	0	6	0	3	5	3	21

Topic 9: Land Use Options

Question 4: Environmental Considerations, idea 1817

Please refer to 'Map 3' before answering this question:

Do you consider that there are environmental considerations to be taken into account on any additional land identified for future development?

There were very few responses to the questions in this section. The results are therefore shown only as numbers and not as percentages.

Topic 9 Q4	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Paper	Number	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	10
Online	Number	-	-	0	0	2	2	6	10
Combined	Number	0	0	0	0	3	2	15	20

Topic 9: Land Use Options

Question 5: Considerations, idea 1818

Please refer to the paragraph 'Considerations' before answering this questions which you can find underneath 'Map 3' on the Draft Neighbourhood Plan on the Pyons Group website:

Do you agree with the 'Considerations' placed on the development of land in the Parish?

There were very few responses to the questions in this section. The results are therefore shown only as numbers and not as percentages.

Topic 9 Q5	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Paper	Number	0	0	0	1	4	0	5	10
Online	Number	-	-	1	0	2	3	4	10
Combined	Number	0	0	1	1	6	3	9	20

Topic 9: Land Use Options

Question 6: Other Considerations, idea 1819

(Please refer to 'considerations' as per previous question):

Should other 'Considerations' be placed on further developments?

There were very few responses to the questions in this section. The results are therefore shown only as numbers and not as percentages.

Topic 9 Q6	Rating	0	n	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Paper	Number	2	2	0	0	2	1	Missing data	Missing data
Online	Number	-	-	1	0	2	3	4	10
Combined	Number	2	2	1	0	4	4	Missing data	Missing data

Appendix 1 – Summary of Average Ratings

Average rating scores – an important note

It is important to note that the average rating score primarily gives a measure of the STRENGTH of support for the proposal and does not reflect the strength of any opposition.

Paper Responses: The average rating is calculated by multiplying the rating (0,1,2,3,4,5) by the number of responses selecting that rating, summing the result and dividing by the total number of responses to that proposal. This means that a response opposing the idea ("0" selected) adds zero to this result rather than subtracting from it. The "N" option (neutral) also adds zero to the sum.

Online Responses: The average rating has been calculated by the same method as described above, however note that there is no "0" option, so all responses contribute to the average rating. It should be noted that there is some ambiguity whether a rating of "1" should be interpreted as little support or strongest opposition for the idea in the view of the respondent.


Combined Responses: The average rating for the responses combined has been calculated by the same method as described above applied to both paper and online responses. It should be noted that with the difference between the rating methods of the two surveys, there is no "perfect" way to calculate the average rating. In particular, this method interprets an online response of "1" to mean minimal support, however it may be that the respondent opposed the idea and assumed that a response of "1" would be interpreted as opposition.

Whilst it is felt that this method provides a useful relative indicator of the strength of support for each idea or proposal it should not be interpreted as a balance of support versus opposition and great caution should be excised when drawing conclusions from the combined results. The detailed results of the paper survey included in the tables and charts above provide an unambiguous reflection of the view of respondents.

Topics 1 and 2

Topic / Question	Paper	Online	Combined
T1Q1	3.26	3.45	3.29
T1Q2	3.83	4.05	3.87
T1Q3	3.51	3.59	3.53
T2Q1	3.07	3.55	3.15
T2Q2	3.26	3.91	3.37
T2Q3	3.48	4.00	3.57
T2Q4	3.88	3.41	3.80
T2Q5	3.38	3.24	3.35
T2Q6	3.36	3.86	3.45
T2Q7	2.89	3.62	3.01
T2Q8	3.55	3.90	3.61


It is important to note that the average rating score primarily gives a measure of the **STRENGTH** of support for the proposal and does not reflect the strength of any opposition.



Topic 3

Topic / Question	Paper	Online	Combined
T3Q1	4.75	4.95	4.79
T3Q2	3.89	4.50	3.99
T3Q3	3.93	4.64	4.06
T3Q4	3.56	4.36	3.70
T3Q5	3.16	3.91	3.29
T3Q6	3.69	3.91	3.73
T3Q7	3.13	3.91	3.27
T3Q8	4.60	4.91	4.66
T3Q9	4.11	4.86	4.24


It is important to note that the average rating score primarily gives a measure of the STRENGTH of support for the proposal and does not reflect the strength of any opposition.



Topics 4, 5 and 6

Topic / Question	Paper	Online	Combined
T4Q1	3.47	4.52	3.65
T4Q2	4.51	4.67	4.54
T4Q3	3.26	4.05	3.39
T4Q4	3.42	3.90	3.50
T5Q1	3.65	4.15	3.73
T5Q2	3.84	4.10	3.88
T6Q1	3.68	4.10	3.74
T6Q2	3.58	3.70	3.60


It is important to note that the average rating score primarily gives a measure of the **STRENGTH** of support for the proposal and does not reflect the strength of any opposition.



Topic 7

Topic / Question	Paper	Online	Combined
T7Q1	3.67	3.95	3.72
T7Q2	4.05	4.60	4.14
T7Q3	3.71	3.80	3.73
T7Q4	3.50	3.80	3.55
T7Q5	3.96	4.05	3.98
T7Q6	3.33	4.10	3.45
T7Q7	3.21	3.60	3.27
T7Q8	3.34	3.58	3.38
T7Q9	2.83	2.95	2.85
T7Q10	2.93	3.25	2.98
T7Q11	2.67	3.35	2.79
T7Q12	3.72	4.60	3.86


It is important to note that the average rating score primarily gives a measure of the STRENGTH of support for the proposal and does not reflect the strength of any opposition.



Topic 8

Topic / Question	Paper	Online	Combined
T8Q1	3.43	3.85	3.50
T8Q2	2.99	3.80	3.12
T8Q3	3.23	3.95	3.34

It is important to note that the average rating score primarily gives a measure of the **STRENGTH** of support for the proposal and does not reflect the strength of any opposition.



Topic 9

There were too few responses to the questions in Topic 9 to include average ratings.

Appendix 2 – The questionnaire

*This Form is an extract from the Pyons Group Website which has the link to the Neighbourhood Plan collection point for all residents' answers or comments. **Wherever possible please use the website.***

If you prefer to use this form please circle a number. 0=against, or rate 1-5. If you are neutral circle neutral.

Topic 1- Key Parish Council Strategy

1. Any significant future development would be in Canon Pyon; it would be proportional, include affordable housing and would be in addition to the designated 'brown-field' site next to the Village Hall. (Do you agree with this as a key strategy? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
2. The priority development must be to emphasise the 'centre' of Canon Pyon to help establish a stronger and safer community within the area defined by the playing field, the shop, the pub and the village hall. (Do you agree with this as a key strategy? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
3. Consideration will be given to small developments and individual houses, elsewhere in the Parish to help sustain local communities and maintain the rural character of the place. (Do you agree with this as a key strategy? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

Topic 2 Parish Plan, Housing:

1. There was general support for investment in housing that is affordable, both now and in the future, with support for land being designated for future investment. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
2. New build and modernisation of existing housing was supported, which demonstrated that residents viewed the future positively and recognised that the Parish will have to grow to be sustained and to attract the much needed complementary investment in facilities and services etc. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
3. The strongest support was for Starter Homes, Family Homes and Adapted/Easy Access Homes for the elderly and disabled. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
4. The criterion that was placed on any investment was that it should target local people and those with local connections. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
5. There was opposition to large executive housing or flats and apartments and less than expected support for 'supported' housing. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
6. Regarding buying options, the strongest support was for houses to be built for private buyers and available on the open market. There was also support for part ownership and self build for individuals or groups. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

7. There was less support for housing association' build for rent' and opposition to private rent and low cost/subsidised housing. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
8. Canon Pyon must not continue to be developed on an ad-hoc basis as this has resulted in the current patchwork layout, the lack of geographic cohesion and associated communications problems. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

Topic 3 Parish Plan, Facilities and Services

1. There was strong support for the existing facilities, in-particular, the Shop, Pub, Village Hall, Churches and Playing Field. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
2. There is a clear need for more frequent and regular public transport, (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
3. Better control of traffic speed and pedestrian facilities for crossing the road are essential with any future development. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
4. There is a clear need to be able to access the school by foot. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
5. The support for organised activities was mixed however there was interest in having a place for the 'youth' to go to and possibly a facility as a meeting point, both of which would likely be best suited to Canon Pyon. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
6. Security and anti-social behaviour are ongoing issues to all areas and Policing is not seen as effective in the Parish and is of clear concern to a large section of the community. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
7. Resident self help groups to organise joint ventures, such as allotments, secure play areas for young children etc were suggested as requirements in any housing development. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
8. Road maintenance is an ongoing sore throughout the Parish and needs to be improved. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
9. Mobile phone and Internet access needs to be improved for both private and business use as both are well below anyone's expectation. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

Topic 4 Parish Plan, Environment

1. There was support for community projects that contributed to the environment. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
2. There was strong support for maintaining the uniqueness of the environment in terms of the nature of the countryside and that the access to footpaths etc is very

highly valued. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

3. There were suggestions that land should be made available as sites that could grow 'wild' as important habitat for insects and wildlife in general. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
4. The removal of re-cycling facilities was not seen as a positive action so this should be reconsidered in any future development of public areas. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

Topic 5 Parish Plan, Economy

1. It was recognised that Housing and the Economy are both important considerations in the development of the Parish along with facilities and services, so a balance must be maintained in this respect. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
2. The view that jobs are needed as well as houses was accepted so business opportunities within development projects would be welcomed so long as they do not detract from the rural nature of the Parish. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

Topic 6 Parish Plan, Health Services

1. As the population of the Parish increases consideration must be given to the possibility of more local provision of health services. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
2. Future building developments must have health provision in mind. (Do you support this view? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

Topic 7 Overall Conclusions:

1. The Parish must develop and grow to become sustainable but the development must be mixed and rural in nature. Residents appreciate this will involve limited investment in housing and associated facilities including work opportunities. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
2. Any development must be planned to first recognise the needs and aspirations of those currently living in the Parish. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
3. The improvement in services that are needed now and in the future to meet residents aspirations are more likely to become a reality if the Parish does continue to develop and grow. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
4. Housing investment must start in Canon Pyon, establishing a 'centre' in the Village by building a community hub around the 'brown field' site. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

5. The development of the hub would include improvements to the Playing Field, secure play facilities for small children and safer pedestrian access across the road and to the school. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
6. Opportunities must be identified to allow for small/individual developments across the Parish, using available sites that would help sustainability and the rural nature of the Parish. This would be on a case by case basis with some local sanction on design. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
7. The Parish and Hereford Councils will help land owners pursue small developments in the knowledge that they would ensure any development is in line with the Parish and Neighbourhood Plans. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
8. Other locations identified for potential development in Canon Pyon need to be formalised as part of the Neighbourhood planning process to maintain control of the shape of any future development once the 'centre' has been effectively secured. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
9. A later development within the hub should include commercial community facilities such as a Café and Youth Club, both of which would need to be financially sustainable within the Parish. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
10. The addition of small business/craft units would be complementary to any development that included housing. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
11. The idea of building a new Primary School within the 'hub' was strongly supported in the response from residents. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
12. It would be a pre-condition of such developments going ahead that Mobile Phone reception and Internet access were significantly improved before they were approved. (Do you agree with these conclusions? 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

Topic 8 Neighbourhood Plan

1. Is this Plan a positive and creative solution to the current challenges facing the Parish? (Please rate your answer 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
2. Does it make a helpful and significant contribution to the wider needs of the County? (Please rate your answer 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)
3. Does it define successfully a framework for the ongoing development of the Parish and the sustainability of the community? (Please rate your answer 0 neutral 1 2 3 4 5)

If you require additional copies of this form please call 01432 830597

Form to be completed and placed in a 'suggestion box' near you.

If you cannot get to a box please call your Parish Councillor or call 01432830597

The boxes are at the following locations: Post Office, Canon Pyon Village Hall, Canon Pyon Church, Westhope Church, Kings Pyon Church and Ledgemoor Club Room.

If you wish to write any comments, please do so on the back of this page.