

PYONS GROUP PARISH COUNCIL NDP WORKING GROUP

Meeting of 20.8.25 1900hrs

Attendees: Jack Langrishe (JL) – lead, Charles Price (CP), Chris Nugent (CP),

Absentee: Andrew Gough

Cases of Wellington (CP), Credenhill and Bredenbury (JL), Bromyard, Eardisley and Peterchurch (CN) examined

CP advised that the previous Wellington NDP was not useful as a guide to our revised NDP and in particular the Vision statement was not of particular use to us.

JL advise Credenhill appears to be a much larger council than PGPC and thus no real comparison. Bredenbury “Vision” circulated by e-mail.

CN advised that Bromyard was comprehensive and worth paying attention to, less so Eardisley and Peterchurch

We determined to complete the first box of the “NDP Review – First steps” flow chart

Issues requiring review for an updated NDP

1) New requirement for additional (40) new properties.

2) Provision of infrastructure to support the above:

Questions

Flooding:

Plenty of historic information available. Note that Wellington is integral to solving the CP problem. Ward councillor has appointed consultant to produce a hydraulic report. What are the terms of reference of that report? We need a copy of that report. When will it be available?

Note 18 cases of severe flooding recorded in 25 years.

Sewage:

Currently above capacity. Welsh Water required to make a statement as to current capacity and upgrading of the system. Do we have a statement to the effect that no plans are in place to upgrade?

School / Medical:

What is the style of housing planned? This will guide the requirement for school (if young families) and medical facilities (if targeting retired / elderly). Need to know of current capacity of the school and if any plans for expansion. If for the elderly what provision of additional medical care is available?

Transport:

What plans are there for additional transport services in 1, 3 and 5 years?

Water Supply:

Is there capacity to continue to supply potable water?

We discussed the current “Policy” issues

Vision statement

PG1: Development strategy

PG2: Housing

PG3: Improving Accessibility for all

PG4: Waste water and Sewerage

PG5: River Wye special area of Conservation

PG6: Protecting and Enhancing the Natural Environment

PG7: Protecting and Enhancing Built Character

PG8: Rural Enterprise and tourism

PG9: Polytunnels

PG10: Community Facilities

PG11: Primary School

It was agreed that with the exception of the “issues” mentioned above there is not too much in the current NDP that requires tweaking apart from the removal of general background matters that may detract from the core issues.

We discussed the possible options for canvassing public opinion.

So...

- 1) Public meeting introducing NDP followed by open discussion
- 2) Survey including list of objectives / topics

We would use Template Thematic Analysis in our approach to public consultation